Introduction

This case study evaluation measures the impacts of Oregon Safe Routes to School (SRTS) 2021 Competitive Construction Grants in communities across the state. The evaluation will assess the effectiveness of individual SRTS projects, techniques, and programs designed to reduce barriers to biking and walking to and from school. Evaluation research questions include:

- What are the impacts for standalone construction grants, and combined outreach and education and construction grants?
- How do different combinations of interventions effectively address the barriers identified by communities and affect mode shift; safety; and perceptions of safety, program lifespan, and equity?

This Baseline Data Evaluation Report represents the “pre-construction” data and provides an overview of existing travel conditions and school site attributes. This report summarizes the funded improvement project, demographics of affected schools, and data from Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and local roadway authority crash records, caregiver surveys, and student travel hand tallies. It is intended to contain the majority of the information needed to plan for the post-construction data collection.

Plan for the Final Case Study Evaluation Report

The Final Case Study Evaluation Report will represent the “post-construction” data. A draft outline for this report is included in Appendix A. For data consistency, the post-construction data will be collected as soon as possible after construction is complete, likely starting in spring 2024. This will reduce weather-related impacts and also allow time during the school year for families to establish or change their travel habits. In addition to the standard caregiver surveys and student travel hand tallies, post-construction data collection methods for the evaluation report may also include caregiver focus groups and surveys or interviews with school staff.

The Final Case Study Evaluation Report will measure shifts using the evaluation metrics laid out in this document to identify the successes of SRTS projects and provide insight on opportunities for further improvement. SRTS performance metrics measured during this evaluation process will include:

- **Mode split**: Are more students walking and biking to school after a project’s completion than at the time of baseline data collection?
- **Access to safe infrastructure**: Do students have better access to sidewalks, bike lanes, or safe crossing locations on their route to school after the completion of the project?
- **Safety/perception of safety**: Do caregivers and students feel safer or more comfortable walking and biking to school after the project’s completion?
- **Program lifespan/partnerships**: Is the SRTS program functioning efficiently and providing adequate support for partner jurisdictions, schools, and districts?
- **Equity**: Are students from a diversity of ethnic/racial and socioeconomic backgrounds benefiting from the investments being made?
In addition to reporting on grant effectiveness, data presented in the Baseline Data Evaluation Report and the Final Case Study Evaluation Report could be used for a variety of transportation and program planning purposes at the local level. Having a comprehensive set of quantitative data and qualitative feedback on transportation conditions and trends around these sites could help inform decisions on school/district policy, SRTS event and program planning by schools/districts/local jurisdictions, and planning for future infrastructure projects, as well as providing supporting documentation for future grant applications.

Baseline SRTS Snapshot: Kennedy Elementary

Summary

Kennedy Elementary School is a public elementary school serving students in the City of Medford. Kennedy Elementary is a Title 1 school, with more than 70% of students eligible for the Federal Free and Reduced-Price Lunch Program. English and Spanish are the primary languages spoken by students, and 10% of students are registered as Ever English Learners.¹

City staff identified Kennedy Elementary School as a high priority site for SRTS improvements due to students needed to cross several busy streets to get to school including Delta Waters Rd, Roberts Rd, and Springbrook Rd.

The Oregon SRTS 2021 Competitive Infrastructure Grant funded crosswalk improvements in three locations and to install a sidewalk on Bradbury St.

In addition to these planned infrastructure improvements, the city is coordinating a Safe Routes to School program for Medford. Action Plans are in development and objectives will include increasing the percentage of students walking or rolling to school in conjunction with implementing a sustainable education program with our physical education (PE) teachers.

Key information from Kennedy Elementary caregiver surveys and travel tally:

- 74% of surveyed caregivers live within a mile of the school.
- Approximately 56% of students ride in a family vehicle to school, and 46% use this mode to travel home; 13% of students take the school bus to school, and 16% take the bus home; and 10% of students walk to school, and 16% of students walk home.
- Caregivers report that poor driving behavior on streets near Kennedy School is the most common barrier to walking/biking to school. Other barriers include:
  - Convenience of driving,
  - Concerns about personal safety, documentation, or criminal activity, and
  - Bad weather.
- Most caregivers recognize the value of walking/biking to school—15 out of 18 described it as healthy and 13 out of 18 described it as fun for their student.

¹ Number of students who have been served or were eligible for an English language development program during 2018-19 or at any time in the past. Oregon Department of Education 18-19 SY collected May 1, 2022.
Contact Information

JURISDICTION: City of Medford

CONTACT: Julie Van Horn, julie.vanhorn@medford.k12.or.us

SCHOOL DISTRICT: Medford School District

CONTACT: City of Medford: 541.842.3615

OTHER CONTACTS: Karl MacNair, karl.macnair@cityofmedford.org

Enrollment and Demographics

Kennedy Elementary School is a Title 1 public school enrolling 487 students in Kindergarten through 6th grade. The school serves low-income populations in the City Medford; approximately 76% of students are eligible for the Free and Reduced-Price Lunch Program. English and Spanish are the primary languages spoken by students, and 10% are registered to be Ever English Learners.²

ENROLLMENT: 487³

GRADE LEVELS SERVED AND SCHOOL TYPE: K-6th, Public

STUDENT ETHNIC/RACIAL DEMOGRAPHICS:

- American Indian/Alaska Native: <1%
- Asian: 1%
- Hispanic or Latino: 23%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Island: 1%
- Multiracial: 8%
- Black/African American: <1%
- White: 67%

PREDOMINANT LANGUAGES SPOKEN IN MEDFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT: ⁴

- English:11552
- Spanish: 2157
- Samoan: 33
- Tagalog: 21
- Vietnamese: 18
- Thai: 10
- Chinese: 10

STUDENTS LIVING WITHIN 1 MILE OF SCHOOL: NA

TITLE 1 STATUS: Yes⁵

EVER ENGLISH LEARNERS: 10%⁶

FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH ELIGIBILITY: 76%⁷

---

² Unless otherwise noted below, demographic data are from the Oregon Department of Education Fall Membership Report SY2020-2021 Data, [https://www.oregon.gov/ode/reports-and-data/students/Pages/Student-Enrollment-Reports.aspx](https://www.oregon.gov/ode/reports-and-data/students/Pages/Student-Enrollment-Reports.aspx)
³ Oregon Department of Education, SY 2020-2021 [https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/Media.aspx](https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/Media.aspx)
⁵ Title 1 schools are schools where 40% or more of students are enrolled in USDA’s Free and Reduced-Price Meals Program. Oregon Department of Education, SY 2018-2019 [https://www.oregon.gov/ode/schools-and-districts/reportcards/reportcards/Pages/Accountability-Measures.aspx](https://www.oregon.gov/ode/schools-and-districts/reportcards/reportcards/Pages/Accountability-Measures.aspx)
⁶ Oregon Department of Education, SY 2020-2021 [https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/Media.aspx](https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/Media.aspx)
⁷ Oregon Department of Education, SY 2020-2021 [https://www.ode.state.or.us/ode/students-and-family/childnutrition/cacfp/Documents/Site%20Eligibility%20for%20CACFP%20and%20SFSP.pdf](https://www.ode.state.or.us/ode/students-and-family/childnutrition/cacfp/Documents/Site%20Eligibility%20for%20CACFP%20and%20SFSP.pdf)
Community Context and Place Type

Place type describes attributes of a built environment, including: access to destinations, density, walkability, mixing of uses, and presence of transit. The evaluation team compiled Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development’s (DLCD) measures of place type for each community studied. Each attribute is rated as “Very Low, Low, Medium, or High” by block group. Place type characteristics provide important context for transportation opportunities and challenges in a community and influence the transportation decisions people make.

Kennedy Elementary School is located in the City of Medford. According to the Place Type Tool, the area surrounding Kennedy Elementary School is categorized as Suburb/Town with lower densities of jobs and/or housing, and lower accessibility to regional jobs. Lower densities decrease multi-modal access to jobs. The development type is Residential, with 82,098 people residing and 517 people working within the census block group. The area has a medium level of access to regional employment centers and destinations, and a high mix of uses; however, the overall level of street connectivity in the area is characterized as “very low.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA TYPE</th>
<th>Suburb/Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Lower densities of jobs and/or housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lower accessibility to regional jobs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lower densities decrease multi-modal access to jobs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT TYPE</th>
<th>Residential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Land use is dominated by housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Low diversity of land uses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jobs/Housing balance: mostly housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Missing either the density or street design required of mixed use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| JURISDICTION POPULATION (ACS 5-YEAR ESTIMATES): City of Medford | 82,098 people |
| CENSUS BLOCK GROUP POPULATION (2010): | 2,860 people |
| NUMBER OF JOBS IN CENSUS BLOCK GROUP (2010): | 517 jobs |
| ACCESS TO DESTINATIONS describes the number of regional jobs within 5 miles: | Medium |
| DENSITY LEVEL- jobs and households per acre within ¼ mile: | Low |
| DESIGN LEVEL- level of street connectivity, pedestrian-oriented street density: | Low |
| DIVERSITY LEVEL- Mix of housing and employment: | High |
| TRANSIT LEVEL- Afternoon peak hourly transit service within ¼ mile: | Very Low |

More information about OLCD’s Place Type Tool is available at: [www.oregon.gov/lcd/CL/Pages/Place-Types.aspx](http://www.oregon.gov/lcd/CL/Pages/Place-Types.aspx)
**Project Description**

A map of the project improvements from the Kennedy Elementary grant application is included in Appendix B.

**PROBLEM STATEMENT:**
Kennedy Elementary School sits on quiet residential streets but students need to cross several busy streets to get to school including Delta Waters Rd, Roberts Rd, and Springbrook Rd.

**DESCRIPTION OF BARRIERS TO WALKING AND BIKING:**
On Bradbury St, there is sidewalk on the south side only; no sidewalk on the north side. The school property is on the north side.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**
The project will install crosswalk improvements in three locations and install sidewalk on Bradbury St. The existing school crosswalks on Delta Waters Rd and Roberts Rd will be enhanced with Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) and an unmarked crosswalk at the intersection of Springbrook Rd & Brookside Dr will be marked and enhanced with signage and a curb bump out on one side.

**ESTIMATED PROJECT TIMELINE:**
October 2023 Completion

**PRIORITY SAFETY CORRIDOR?**
Yes

**OUTREACH AND EDUCATION:**
We have only had discussions with the citizens who have requested crosswalk enhancements at this location and with the school and school district. Safe Routes to School is a new program for Medford. Action Plans are in development and objectives will include increasing the percentage of students walking or rolling to school in conjunction with implementing a sustainable education program with our physical education (PE) teachers. Student tallies and caregiver surveys were completed for eight schools before closures occurred. PE teachers incorporated pedestrian and bicycle safety last May in place of Bike to School Day. The teachers are excited to continue a consistent program for their students as it relates to PE and healthy choices. At the district level, Student Wellness is also excited to incorporate Safe Routes to School programming at events district wide.

---

9 A road where the posted speed or 85th percentile speed of traffic is 40 mph or greater OR where two of the following apply: posted speed limit of 30 mph or greater, more than two lanes or a crossing distance greater than 30 feet, 12,000 AADT or greater, or a demonstrated history of crashes related to school traffic.
Access Analysis for Students Walking and Biking to School

The project team conducted an analysis to estimate the number of people who would gain walking and biking access to Kennedy Elementary School when the project improvements are constructed, shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. First, the project improvements were evaluated to understand the geographic areas that would gain safe access to the school once the funded project was constructed. Next, American Community Survey (ACS) data was combined with zoning data to estimate the number of people and the number of school-age children that live within the new access areas.

This analysis estimates that approximately 778 students, or 58% of the student-aged population living within a mile of the school, would gain safer walking or biking access to the school.

Table 1. Access Analysis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METRIC</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population of New Access Areas</td>
<td>3,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Age Population of New Access Areas</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Students within the School Areas Gaining Access</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 Calculated using the proportion of school-age children (5-17 years old) within the surrounding block groups in the City of Medford.
11 The School Area is defined as the area within the school enrollment area that is within one mile of the school.
Figure 1. Kennedy Elementary New Access Area for Students Walking and Biking
Baseline Data

The following section presents pre-construction data, which will be compared against similar data collected after the project has been constructed, in order to estimate the impact of the improvements.

Hand Tallies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DATE COLLECTED:</strong></th>
<th>April 13, 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DATA COLLECTION PROCESS:</strong></td>
<td>23 classrooms surveyed about their trip to and from school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NUMBER OF STUDENTS:</strong></td>
<td>469 students participated in hand tallies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRIPSRecorded</strong></td>
<td>750 trips recorded by the hand tallies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION AND METHODOLOGY**

The April 2022 baseline hand tally data from Kennedy Elementary includes 750 recorded trips collected from 207 students in 23 classrooms. The hand tally process surveyed all students in each classroom on which transportation mode(s) they had used to get to and from school the day of the survey. The Oregon SRTS’s hand tally data collection forms and process were used. Kennedy School staff collected the data. This data provides a snapshot of student travel behavior trends.

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS:**

Kennedy Elementary hand tally data from 2022 indicates that a majority of students surveyed ride in a family vehicle in the morning and in the afternoon (see Figure 2 and Table 2). Riding the school bus and walking were the second most popular travel modes, with school bus being slightly more used as a travel mode than walking. Carpoools are also used by 1.5% of students to get to school and 0.6% to get home. Three percent of students walk in the morning, and 5% walk home in the afternoon. One student reported biking to school, while none of the students reported biking home from school.

**Figure 2. Student Mode Split by Time of Day, 2022 Hand Tally Data**

![Figure 2](image-url)

*Note: Percentages may not total 100% due to rounding.*
Table 2. Count of Student Mode Split to and From School, 2022 Hand Tally Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME OF DAY</th>
<th>WALK</th>
<th>BIKE</th>
<th>SCHOOL BUS</th>
<th>FAMILY VEHICLE</th>
<th>CARPOOL</th>
<th>TRANSIT</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caregiver Surveys

DATE COLLECTED: April 2022

DATA COLLECTION PROCESS: The Oregon Department of Transportation SRTS caregiver survey was distributed electronically to caregivers at Kennedy Elementary School to assess family perceptions about school travel options and behavior. The survey was available in English and Spanish.

NUMBER OF SURVEYS: 19 responses

SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION AND METHODOLOGY

The caregiver survey data included in this report was collected in April of 2022 from 19 participants with students attending Kennedy Elementary. Alta Planning + Design staff created a promotional flier which included details about the Safe Routes to School program, project contact information, and a link to the online survey. Caregivers who completed the survey were entered into a raffle for a walking/biking safety kit. Note: due to the small sample size, the following charts are provided as raw numbers as they do not provide a representative sample of the total population.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Caregiver survey analysis revealed that 14 respondents live within one mile of Kennedy Elementary, with an additional three living between one and two miles of the school site (see Figure 3). Two of the surveyed caregivers live more than two miles from the school.

Figure 3. How Far Does your Family Live from School?, 2022 Caregiver Survey
Family vehicles were the most commonly used transportation option for students living less than a quarter mile from the school, as well as those living between a half mile and one mile away and those who live two miles or farther from school (see Figure 4 and Table 3). For students who live between a quarter-mile and a half mile from school, active modes were the most popular transportation, with 60% using this mode. Additionally, an equal percentage (50%) of students who live between one and two miles from school used shared modes or traveled by family vehicle.

Figure 4. Mode Split by Distance from School, 2022 Caregiver Survey

![Mode Split by Distance from School, 2022 Caregiver Survey](image)

Table 3. Count of Trips by Distance the Family Lives from School, 2022 Caregiver Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTANCE</th>
<th>WALK</th>
<th>BIKE</th>
<th>SCHOOL BUS</th>
<th>FAMILY VEHICLE</th>
<th>CARPOOL</th>
<th>TRANSIT</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1/4 mile</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4 mile up to 1/2 mile</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 mile up to 1 mile</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mile up to 2 miles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2 miles</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As Figure 5 illustrates, 10 out of 19 caregivers surveyed reported that they would not allow their student to walk to/from school. However, four responded that they would allow their student to walk if they were accompanied by a trusted adult, one would allow them to walk with a friend or sibling, and three would allow them to walk by themselves. Fifteen out of 19 said they would not allow their student to bike, two would allow biking with a trusted adult present, one would allow them to walk with a friend or sibling, and one would allow them to walk alone.

**Figure 5. Do You Allow This Student to Travel to School in the Following Ways?, 2022 Caregiver Survey**

![Bar chart showing travel methods and number of respondents](image)

While caregivers reported varying concerns that limit their student’s ability to walk or bike to school, some were more commonly expressed than others (see Figure 6). Many surveyed caregivers faced the following barriers:

- Poor driver behavior
- Convenience of driving
- Concerns about safety, documentation, or criminal activity
- Bad weather
A majority of caregiver respondents (11 out of 18) felt Kennedy Elementary neither encouraged or discouraged students from walking and biking to school at the time of the survey. An additional 7 out of 18 felt the school encouraged or strongly encouraged active transportation, and none characterized the school as discouraging walking and biking (see Figure 7).
At the time of the survey, 13 out of 18 of caregivers agreed that walking or biking to school would be a fun activity for their students, while only one believed the activity would be boring. An additional four were neutral or unsure on whether their student would enjoy walking and biking to school (Figure 8).

A majority of caregivers recognized the health benefits of active transportation, with 15 out of 19 agreeing that walking or biking to school would be healthy for their student. An additional three were neutral regarding the health benefits of walking and biking, and none felt that the activities would be unhealthy for their student (see Figure 9).
Crash Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DATE COLLECTED:</strong></th>
<th><strong>2014-2018</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DATA COLLECTION PROCESS:</strong></td>
<td>Crash data included in this report originates from relevant roadway jurisdictions, as well as the ODOT SRTS Web Map Application for the years 2014-2018. This analysis does not determine whether the grant intervention caused any change in the occurrence of crashes, due to small sample size. Additionally, due to insufficient mode split data to calculate crash rates, this report offers a count and description of reported incidents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NUMBER OF REPORTED CRASHES INVOLVING BIKES AND PEDESTRIANS WITHIN 1 MILE OF SCHOOL:</strong></td>
<td>Between 2014 and 2018, 23 crashes involving a bicyclist or pedestrian were reported within one mile of the school.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **TIME OF REPORTED CRASHES INVOLVING BIKES AND PEDESTRIANS WITHIN 1 MILE OF SCHOOL*:** | Nineteen of these reported crashes occurred during school commuting hours; the rest occurred outside these hours. 
* For these purposes school commuting hours were defined as 6 AM to 9 PM. |
| **NUMBER OF REPORTED INJURIES BY SEVERITY WITHIN 1 MILE OF THE SCHOOL:** | All 19 of these reported crashes involved an injury to a bicyclist or pedestrian. All 12 of the reported crashes involving a bicyclist were non-fatal. Of the 11 reported crashes involving a pedestrian, 10 were non-fatal and one was fatal. Figure 10 illustrates the location of the crashes by type and injury severity. (Note that some crashes may be shown on the map that are not included in this total; they occurred outside a one-mile radius from the school. Additionally, some crash icons may be beneath others if they occurred at the same location). |
| **ADDITIONAL CRASH DATA CONSIDERATIONS:** | N/A |
Figure 10: Kennedy Elementary School Bicycle & Pedestrian Collisions (2014-2018)
Follow-Up Data Collection Plan

Timeline

Post-grant field visits to collect follow-up data will be scheduled to take place the spring following the completion of each grant intervention. The City of Medford estimates the project will be completed by October 2023.

Follow-Up Data Collection Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>PLANNED AT THIS SITE?</th>
<th>TARGET SAMPLE SIZE</th>
<th>TARGET FIELD WORK DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STUDENT HAND TALLIES:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At least 2 classrooms per grade per school</td>
<td>Spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAREGIVER SURVEYS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At least 30 caregivers per school</td>
<td>Spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAREGIVER FOCUS GROUPS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4-10 caregivers</td>
<td>Spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY SURVEYS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At least 20 community members</td>
<td>Spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF SURVEYS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1-3 school staff and administration</td>
<td>Spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRASH DATA:</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>(2024-2028 will likely be available in 2031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 11. Kennedy Elementary School Competitive SRTS Infrastructure Grant Funded Project Map
Appendix C. Access Analysis Detailed Methodology

Purpose
The access map analysis was designed to estimate the number of students with new or significantly improved access to school upon the implementation of a proposed walking or biking facility. While determining the number of students who benefit from a proposed project is not an exact science, this analysis provides a common approach that utilizes school district boundaries, census population data and local zoning codes to generate rough estimates. These estimates lend greater insight into the impact of a particular Safe Routes to School project, allowing facility improvements to be compared and thus aid in prioritizing investments. This memo outlines the data sources, methods, and assumptions that inform the access map analysis described in this report.

Data Sources
Three primary data sources were used in this analysis in conjunction with the information provided in each project application:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Community Survey (ACS) Population Estimates</td>
<td>US Census Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon School District Boundaries</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Oregon Statewide Zoning Map</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods
The analysis establishes two geographical areas in which census block population data are apportioned to: 1) the school area and 2) the access area. The school area is defined as the area that is within a 1-mile radius of the applicant school or within the enrollment boundary, whichever is closer. This area covers residents within reasonable walking or biking distance of the school. The access area is the area that covers all residents who would experience new or significantly improved access to school upon the implementation of the proposed walking or biking facility.

Once both of these areas were established, the consultant team identified the census blocks that intersect each. We then apportioned the population data from the census blocks to the school area and the access area, based on the relative coverage of each census block. To account for varying residential densities in each census block, we used residential zoning data to determine the proportion of the population that should be attributed to the school area and access area.

After the estimated populations of both the school area and the access area are calculated, the local jurisdiction’s youth rate is applied to each to get the number of people ages 5-17 in those areas, which we refer to as the “school age population.” Finally, the school age populations of the access area and the school
area are compared. The percentage of school age students with new or improved access to school represents the proportion of students impacted by the project out of all the students in the school area who could reasonably walk or bike to school.

**Defining the Access Area**

The boundary of the school area is readily calculable using GIS and the rules described above. By contrast, the access area boundary was determined manually based on the project description and professional judgement of impact. While this method inherently includes subjective judgement, the high variability and nuance in the transportation context surrounding the proposed project makes this method more suitable for determining the residential areas apportioned that would benefit from its implementation than a purely GIS-based workflow. The following assumptions and rules of thumb were adopted in order to make the assessment of the access areas as uniform as possible:

1. The analysis assumes people are willing to “walk around the block” half the distance of their street in the opposite direction of school in order to utilize a safe path to school.
2. The analysis assumes that Google Earth street view imagery is up to date, as this was used to determine sidewalk connectivity and condition, which informed the access areas.
3. Places without sidewalks, particularly in small towns, are considered walkable if the street is narrow, residential, and designed for a low volume of traffic (i.e., lacks a centerline).
4. The access areas consider ADA accessibility and account for those in wheelchairs or other mobility devices.
5. The access areas may include residents who have to walk more than one mile to school, based on the available street network.
6. Even if some residents may have already had access to school, they might be included in the access area if the proposed project would significantly improve their access to school.

**Apportioning Census Population Data**

As described above, census population data was apportioned to both the school area and the access area based on how much a census block covered them. However, to account for varying population densities across census blocks, residential zones in the census blocks were identified.

The statewide zoning data provided by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development groups residential zones across all jurisdictions in the state into 13 categories of increasing density. Our team further consolidated these categories into just 4: Low Density, Medium-Low Density, Medium-High Density, and High Density. We then weighted these categories by their relative density compared to Low Density:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residential Zone Group</th>
<th>Population Density Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Density</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-Low Density</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Residential Zone Group Population Density Factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residential Zone Group</th>
<th>Population Density Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium-High Density</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These factors serve to more accurately distribute the population data across the residential zones within the census block. In other words, if the census block contained only Low Density residential zones, then the population of any given area within that census block is equal to the proportion of the census block that that area covers. By contrast, if a census block contains Low Density residential zones and High Density zones, we attribute 15 times the population of the census block to the High Density zones than the Low Density zones. The density factors were determined using the typical number of dwellings per acre in in each zone.

The analysis uses these four zoning categories to identify the spatial distribution of the population of the census block and apportion it to the overlaying school area and access areas based on how much those areas cover the residential zones of the census block.

### General Assumptions

- This analysis assumes that the Oregon Statewide Zoning code reflects the actual residential densities of the current built environment.
- Areas that were zoned for housing that had no development on them according to the latest satellite imagery (and significantly impacted the output) were removed from the analysis in order to improve the accuracy of the estimates. This was only utilized in a few low-population jurisdictions.
- For rural schools with no local residential zoning reported, the population of the appropriate block group is assumed to be evenly distributed across the school zone and the percentage of people served is equal to the percentage of the school zone covered by the new access area.
- This analysis assumes that families are evenly distributed between each of the four residential zone groups.
- The reported number of school-age students includes all students ages 5-17, not just elementary or middle school students. Thus, the number of students who actually attend the applicant school is likely much lower than the reported figure.