Introduction

This case study evaluation measures the impacts of Oregon Safe Routes to School (SRTS) 2021 Competitive Construction Grants in communities across the state. The evaluation will assess the effectiveness of individual SRTS projects, techniques, and programs designed to reduce barriers to biking and walking to and from school. Evaluation research questions include:

• What are the impacts for standalone construction grants, and combined outreach and education and construction grants?
• How do different combinations of interventions effectively address the barriers identified by communities and affect mode shift; safety; and perceptions of safety, program lifespan, and equity?

This Baseline Data Evaluation Report represents the “pre-construction” data and provides an overview of existing travel conditions and school site attributes. This report summarizes the funded improvement project, demographics of affected schools, and data from Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and local roadway authority crash records, caregiver surveys, and student travel hand tallies. It is intended to contain the majority of the information needed to plan for the post-construction data collection.

Plan for the Final Case Study Evaluation Report

The Final Case Study Evaluation Report will represent the “post-construction” data. A draft outline for this report is included in Appendix A. For data consistency, the post-construction data will be collected as soon as possible after construction is complete, likely starting in spring 2021. This will reduce weather-related impacts and also allow time during the school year for families to establish or change their travel habits. In addition to the standard caregiver surveys and student travel hand tallies, post-construction data collection methods for the evaluation report may also include caregiver focus groups and surveys or interviews with school staff.

The Final Case Study Evaluation Report will measure shifts using the evaluation metrics laid out in this document to identify the successes of SRTS projects and provide insight on opportunities for further improvement. SRTS performance metrics measured during this evaluation process will include:

• Mode split: Are more students walking and biking to school after a project’s completion than at the time of baseline data collection?
• Access to safe infrastructure: Do students have better access to sidewalks, bike lanes, or safe crossing locations on their route to school after the completion of the project?
• Safety/perception of safety: Do caregivers and students feel safer or more comfortable walking and biking to school after the project’s completion?
• Program lifespan/partnerships: Is the SRTS program functioning efficiently and providing adequate support for partner jurisdictions, schools, and districts?
• **Equity:** Are students from a diversity of ethnic/racial and socioeconomic backgrounds benefiting from the investments being made?

In addition to reporting on grant effectiveness, data presented in the Baseline Data Evaluation Report and the Final Case Study Evaluation Report could be used for a variety of transportation and program planning purposes at the local level. Having a comprehensive set of quantitative data and qualitative feedback on transportation conditions and trends around these sites could help inform decisions on school/district policy, SRTS event and program planning by schools/districts/local jurisdictions, and planning for future infrastructure projects, as well as provide supporting documentation for future grant applications.

**Baseline SRTS Snapshot: Lincoln Elementary**

**Summary**

Lincoln Elementary School is a public elementary school serving students in the City of Grants Pass. Lincoln Elementary is a Title 1 school, with more than 90-100% of students eligible for the Federal Free and Reduced-Price Lunch Program. English and Spanish are the primary languages spoken by students, and 9% of students are registered as Ever English Learners.1

City staff identified Lincoln Elementary School as a high priority site for SRTS improvements due to the high traffic speeds and volumes and multiple gaps in the pedestrian network.

The Oregon SRTS 2021 Competitive Grant included adding new and infill pedestrian and ADA facilities along NE 10th St., NE Hefley St., NE Churchill St., NE 9th St., NE Memorial Dr., and NE Riddle Dr.; all key access routes to Lincoln.

In addition to these planned infrastructure improvements, the City printed survey postcards, which were mailed to property owners along the proposed improvement routes, asking for feedback on the project and asking if they would be willing to donate right of way if needed. Additionally, Neighborhood Watch groups in the area were contacted, and two informal meetings were held with interested neighbors to explain the project.

Key information from Lincoln Elementary caregiver surveys and travel tallies:

- 56% of students live within a mile of the school.
- Approximately 63% of students ride in a family vehicle to school, and 48% use this mode to travel home; 21% of students take the school bus to school, and 34% take the bus home; And 13% of students reported walking to school, and 15% of students reported walking home from school.
- Most caregivers recognize the value of walking/biking to school—84% described it as healthy and 60% described it as fun for their student.

1 Number of students who have been served or were eligible for an English language development program during 2018-19 or at any time in the past. Oregon Department of Education 18-19 SY collected May 1, 2022.
Contact Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JURISDICTION:</th>
<th>City of Grants Pass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTACT:</td>
<td>Scott Linberg, <a href="mailto:slinberg@grantspassoregon.gov">slinberg@grantspassoregon.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL DISTRICT:</td>
<td>Grants Pass School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTACT:</td>
<td>City of Grants Pass: (541) 450-6015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CONTACTS:</td>
<td>Kelly Smith, Principal, <a href="mailto:ksmith1@grantspass.k12.or.us">ksmith1@grantspass.k12.or.us</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enrollment and Demographics

Lincoln Elementary School is a Title 1 public school enrolling 434 students in Kindergarten through 5th grade. The school serves low-income populations in the City of Grants Pass, approximately 95% of students eligible for the Free and Reduced-Price Lunch Program. English and Spanish are the primary languages spoken by students, and 9% are registered to be Ever English Learners.2

**ENROLLMENT:** 4343

**GRADE LEVELS SERVED AND SCHOOL TYPE:** K-5th, Public

**STUDENT ETHNIC/RACIAL DEMOGRAPHICS:**
- American Indian/Alaska Native: <1%
- Asian: 2%
- Hispanic or Latino: 20%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Island: 1%
- Multiracial: 8%
- Black/African American: <1%
- White: 68%

**PREDOMINANT LANGUAGES SPOKEN IN GRANTS PASS SCHOOL DISTRICT:**
- English: 5230
- Spanish: 249
- Chinese: 14

**STUDENTS LIVING WITHIN 1 MILE OF SCHOOL:** NA

**TITLE 1 STATUS:** Yes5

**EVER ENGLISH LEARNERS:** 9%6

**FREE AND REDUCED-PRICE LUNCH ELIGIBILITY:** 65.9%7

---

2 Unless otherwise noted below, demographic data are from the Oregon Department of Education Fall Membership Report SY2020-2021 Data, https://www.oregon.gov/ode/reports-and-data/students/Pages/Student-Enrollment-Reports.aspx
3 Oregon Department of Education, SY 2020-2021 https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/Media.aspx
5 Title 1 schools are schools where 40% or more of students are enrolled in USDA’s Free and Reduced-Price Meals Program. Oregon Department of Education, SY 2018-2019 https://www.oregon.gov/ode/schools-and-districts/reportcards/reportcards/Pages/Accountability-Measures.aspx
6 Oregon Department of Education, SY 2020-2021 https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/Media.aspx
7 Oregon Department of Education, SY 2020-2021 https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/ChronicIllness.aspx
Community Context and Place Type

Place type describes attributes of a built environment, including: access to destinations, density, walkability, mixing of uses, and presence of transit. The evaluation team compiled Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development’s (DLCD) measures of place type for each community studied. Each attribute is rated as “Very Low, Low, Medium, or High” by block group. Place type characteristics provide important context for transportation opportunities and challenges in a community and influence the transportation decisions people make.

Lincoln Elementary School is located in the City of Grants Pass. According to the Place Type Tool, the area surrounding Lincoln Elementary School is categorized as residential land use, dominated by housing, with a low diversity of land uses. The area has medium accessibility to jobs and services with 1,857 people residing and 222 people working within the census block group. The area has a medium level of access to regional employment centers and destinations, and a medium mix of uses; however, the overall level of street connectivity in the area is characterized as “very low.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA TYPE</th>
<th>Low Density Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Area Type describes the role of each neighborhood district compared to the rest of the region (regional center, close-in community, suburban/town, low density/rural) | • Very low densities of housing and jobs  
• Very low accessibility to jobs and services  
• Generally, outside UGB, or undeveloped areas within UGB  
• Auto dependent transportation, due to low activity densities |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT TYPE</th>
<th>Residential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Development Type describes more detailed physical characteristics of each neighborhood (transit supportive development, mixed use, employment, residential, rural/ low density): | • Land use is dominated by housing  
• Low diversity of land uses  
• Jobs/Housing balance: mostly housing  
• Missing either the density or street design required of mixed use |

| JURISDICTION POPULATION (ACS 5-YEAR ESTIMATES): | City of Grants Pass 2,172 people |
| CENSUS BLOCK GROUP POPULATION (2010): | 1,857 people |
| NUMBER OF JOBS IN CENSUS BLOCK GROUP (2010): | 222 jobs |
| ACCESS TO DESTINATIONS describes the number of regional jobs within 5 miles: | Medium |
| DENSITY LEVEL- jobs and households per acre within ¼ mile: | Medium |
| DESIGN LEVEL- level of street connectivity, pedestrian-oriented street density: | Low |
| DIVERSITY LEVEL- Mix of housing and employment: | Medium |
| TRANSIT LEVEL- Afternoon peak hourly transit service within ¼ mile: | Very low |

8 More information about OLCD’s Place Type Tool is available at: [www.oregon.gov/lcd/CL/Pages/Place-Types.aspx](http://www.oregon.gov/lcd/CL/Pages/Place-Types.aspx)
**Project Description**

A map of the project improvements from the Lincoln Elementary grant application is included in Appendix B.

**Problem Statement:** Lincoln Elementary serves nearly 500 students. Located in an established central residential area, Lincoln is an example of a school that should be well served with pedestrian infrastructure, yet multiple gaps are present that obstruct safe access.

**Description of Barriers to Walking and Biking:** Lincoln Elementary is located in a tight-knit neighborhood in northeast Grants Pass. Despite being occupied by a school on the present site since the 1950s, the Lincoln neighborhood was primarily developed in the post WWII era, a time when pedestrian access was frequently overlooked as urbanization occurred. Lincoln, however, was situated adjacent to existing neighborhoods, and unlike other Grants Pass schools that developed on the urban fringe, was within walkable proximity for many students. As the community expanded past Lincoln, and the traffic loads on adjacent streets increased, deficiencies in the pedestrian network became more pronounced.

**Project Description:** Project will install new and infill pedestrian and ADA facilities along NE 10th St., NE Hefley St., NE Churchill St., NE 9th St., NE Memorial Dr., and NE Riddle Dr.; all key access routes to Lincoln.

**Estimated Project Timeline:** November 2023 Completion

**Priority Safety Corridor?** Yes

**Outreach and Education:** The Grants Pass City Council reviewed the proposed project and directed staff to submit this application. City staff printed survey postcards, which were mailed to property owners along the proposed improvement routes, asking for feedback on the project and asking if they would be willing to donate right of way if needed. These self-addressed, postage-paid cards are being returned to the city and the responses are being logged. Additionally, Neighborhood Watch groups in the area were contacted, and two informal meetings were held with interested neighbors to explain the project. The Blue Zones Project hosted a table at this year's back to school night, and is working with parent volunteers to organize a walking school bus event.

The Grants Pass Blue Zones Project, working with staff from Lincoln Elementary and pedestrian advocates, surveyed students and their parents regarding impediments to walking to school. A visual survey of existing pedestrian infrastructure, making notes of any barriers to walking or bicycling to Lincoln, was also made. Lincoln is demonstrating its commitment to SRTS with educational efforts, such as a weekly walking school bus program commencing on National Walk to School Day, partnering with local Kiwanis Clubs on a Safety Town program.

---

9 A road where the posted speed or 85th percentile speed of traffic is 40 mph or greater OR where two of the following apply: posted speed limit of 30 mph or greater, more than two lanes or a crossing distance greater than 30 feet, 12,000 AADT or greater, or a demonstrated history of crashes related to school traffic.
Access Analysis for Students Walking and Biking to School

The project team conducted an analysis to estimate the number of people who would gain walking and biking access to Lincoln Elementary School when the project improvements are constructed, shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. First, the project improvements were evaluated to understand the geographic areas that would gain safe access to the school once the funded project was constructed. Next, American Community Survey (ACS) data was combined with zoning data to estimate the number of people and the number of school-age children that live within the new access areas.

This analysis estimates that approximately 876 students, or 91% of the school-aged population living within a mile of the school, would gain safer walking or biking access to the school.

Table 1. Access Analysis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METRIC</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population of New Access Areas</td>
<td>5,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Age Population of New Access Areas(^{10})</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Students within the School Areas Gaining Access(^{11})</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{10}\) Calculated using the proportion of school-age children (5-17 years old) within the City of Grants Pass.

\(^{11}\) The school Area is defined as the area within the school enrollment area that is within one mile of the school.
Figure 1. Lincoln Elementary New Access Area for Students Walking and Biking
Baseline Data

The following section presents pre-construction data, which will be compared against similar data collected after the project has been constructed, in order to estimate the impact of the improvements.

Hand Tallies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE COLLECTED:</th>
<th>May 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATA COLLECTION PROCESS:</td>
<td>18 classrooms surveyed about their trip to and from school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF STUDENTS:</td>
<td>444 students participated in hand tallies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIPSRecorded</td>
<td>1,729 trips recorded by the hand tallies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION AND METHODOLOGY**

The May 2018 baseline hand tally data from Lincoln Elementary includes 1,729 recorded trips collected from 444 students in 18 classrooms. The hand tally process surveyed all students in each classroom on which transportation mode(s) they had used to get to and from school the day of the survey. The Oregon SRTS’s hand tally data collection forms and process were used. Lincoln Elementary staff collected the data. This data was provided by staff of the City of Grants Pass Public Works Department for the production of this report.

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

Lincoln Elementary hand tally data from 2018 indicates that a majority of students surveyed ride in a family vehicle in the morning (63%) and in the afternoon (48%) (see Figure 2). School bus was the second most popular travel mode with 21% using this mode in the morning and 34% in the afternoon. This was followed by walking, which constituted roughly 14% of student trips. Carpool and other travel modes were used by very few of the students—all making up 3% or under.

**Figure 2. Student Mode Split by Time of Day, 2018 Travel Tally**

Note: Percentages may not total 100% due to rounding.
Caregiver Surveys

DATE COLLECTED: October 2018

DATA COLLECTION PROCESS: The Oregon Department of Transportation SRTS caregiver survey was distributed to caregivers at Lincoln Elementary School to assess family perceptions about school travel options and behavior.

NUMBER OF SURVEYS: 100

SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION AND METHODOLOGY

The caregiver survey data included in this report was collected in October of 2018 from 100 participants with students attending Lincoln Elementary. Since this survey was disseminated to Lincoln Elementary caregivers in 2018, some of the contents have been modified; however, a majority of the questions are similar or identical to the caregiver survey that is currently being distributed. This data was retrieved from staff of the City of Grants Pass Public Works Department.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Caregiver survey analysis revealed that 56% of respondents live within one mile of Lincoln Elementary, with an additional 19% living between one and two miles of the school site (see Figure 3). A quarter of surveyed caregivers live more than two miles from the school.

Figure 3. How Far Does Your Family Live from School?, 2018 Caregiver Survey

Active modes were the most commonly used transportation option for students living less than a quarter mile from the school, while for those living between a half mile and one mile away and those who live two miles or farther from school, family vehicle was the most commonly used transportation mode (see Figure 4 and Table 2). For students living more than one mile from the school, none reported using active transportation modes, and the majority reported using family vehicles.
A majority of caregiver respondents (62%) felt Lincoln Elementary neither encouraged or discouraged students from walking and biking to school at the time of the survey. An additional 34% felt the school encouraged or strongly encouraged active transportation, while 3% characterized the school as discouraging walking and biking (see Figure 5).
At the time of the survey, 60% of caregivers agreed that walking or biking to school would be a fun activity for their students, while only 3% believed the activity would be boring. An additional 38% were neutral or unsure on whether their student would enjoy walking and biking to school (Figure 6).

Figure 5. Agree/Disagree: Walking/Biking to/from School Is Encouraged by My Student’s School, 2018 Caregiver Survey

Figure 6. Agree/Disagree: Walking/Biking to/from School Is Fun for My Student, 2018 Caregiver Survey
A majority of caregivers recognized the health benefits of active transportation, with 84% agreeing that walking or biking to school would be healthy for their student. An additional 16% were neutral regarding the health benefits of walking and biking, and none felt that the activities would be unhealthy for their student (see Figure 7).

**Figure 7. Agree/Disagree: Walking/Biking to/from School Is Healthy for My Student, 2018 Caregiver Survey**
# Crash Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DATE COLLECTED:</strong></th>
<th><strong>2014-2018</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DATA COLLECTION PROCESS:** Crash data included in this report originates from relevant roadway jurisdictions, as well as the ODOT SRTS Web Map Application for the years 2014-2018. This analysis does not determine whether the grant intervention caused any change in the occurrence of crashes, due to small sample size. Additionally, due to insufficient mode split data to calculate crash rates, this report offers a count and description of reported incidents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>NUMBER OF REPORTED CRASHES INVOLVING BIKES AND PEDESTRIANS WITHIN 1 MILE OF SCHOOL:</strong></th>
<th>Between 2014 and 2018, 44 crashes involving a bicyclist or pedestrian were reported within one mile of the school.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TIME OF REPORTED CRASHES INVOLVING BIKES AND PEDESTRIANS WITHIN 1 MILE OF SCHOOL***: Forty of these reported crashes occurred during school commuting hours; the rest occurred outside these hours.

*For these purposes school commuting hours were defined as 6 AM to 9 PM.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>NUMBER OF REPORTED INJURIES BY SEVERITY WITHIN 1 MILE OF THE SCHOOL:</strong></th>
<th>All 18 of the reported crashes involving a bicyclist were non-fatal. Of the 26 reported crashes involving a pedestrian, 24 were non-fatal and two were fatal. Figure 8 illustrates the location of the crashes by type and injury severity. <em>(Note that some crashes may be shown on the map that are not included in this total; they occurred outside a 1-mile radius from the school. Additionally, some crash icons may be beneath others if they occurred at the same location).</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ADDITIONAL CRASH DATA CONSIDERATIONS:</strong></th>
<th><strong>N/A</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Note that some crashes may be shown on the map that are not included in this total; they occurred outside a 1-mile radius from the school. Additionally, some crash icons may be beneath others if they occurred at the same location.*
Figure 8. Lincoln Elementary School Bicycle & Pedestrian Collisions (2014-2018)
Follow-Up Data Collection Plan

Timeline

Post-grant field visits to collect follow-up data will be scheduled to take place the spring following the completion of each grant intervention. The City of Grants Pass estimates the project will be completed by November 2023.

Follow-Up Data Collection Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>PLANNED AT THIS SITE?</th>
<th>TARGET SAMPLE SIZE</th>
<th>TARGET FIELD WORK DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STUDENT HAND TALLIES:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At least 2 classrooms per grade per school</td>
<td>Early spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAREGIVER SURVEYS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At least 30 caregivers per school</td>
<td>Early spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAREGIVER FOCUS GROUPS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4-10 caregivers</td>
<td>Early spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY SURVEYS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At least 20 community members</td>
<td>Early spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF SURVEYS:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1-3 school staff and administration</td>
<td>Early spring 2024 (assuming project completion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRASH DATA:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A. Final Report DRAFT Outline

Note: The following Final Report outline is subject to change.
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Appendix B. Competitive SRTS Infrastructure Grant Funded Project Map

Application did not include a Project Map.

Appendix C. Access to SRTS Detailed Methodology

Purpose

The access map analysis was designed to estimate the number of students with new or significantly improved access to school upon the implementation of a proposed walking or biking facility. While determining the number of students who benefit from a proposed project is not an exact science, this analysis provides a common approach that utilizes school district boundaries, census population data and local zoning codes to generate rough estimates. These estimates lend greater insight into the impact of a particular Safe Routes to School project, allowing facility improvements to be compared and thus aid in prioritizing investments. This memo outlines the data sources, methods, and assumptions that inform the access map analysis described in this report.

Data Sources

Three primary data sources were used in this analysis in conjunction with the information provided in each project application:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Community Survey (ACS) Population Estimates</td>
<td>US Census Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon School District Boundaries</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Oregon Statewide Zoning Map</td>
<td>Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods

The analysis establishes two geographical areas in which census block population data are apportioned to: 1) the school area and 2) the access area. The school area is defined as the area that is within a 1-mile radius of the applicant school or within the enrollment boundary, whichever is closer. This area covers residents within reasonable walking or biking distance of the school. The access area is the area that covers all residents who would experience new or significantly improved access to school upon the implementation of the proposed walking or biking facility.
Once both of these areas were established, the consultant team identified the census blocks that intersect each. We then apportioned the population data from the census blocks to the school area and the access area, based on the relative coverage of each census block. To account for varying residential densities in each census block, we used residential zoning data to determine the proportion of the population that should be attributed to the school area and access area.

After the estimated populations of both the school area and the access area are calculated, the local jurisdiction’s youth rate is applied to each to get the number of people ages 5-17 in those areas, which we refer to as the “school age population.” Finally, the school age populations of the access area and the school area are compared. The percentage of school age students with new or improved access to school represents the proportion of students impacted by the project out of all the students in the school area who could reasonably walk or bike to school.

**Defining the Access Area**

The boundary of the school area is readily calculable using GIS and the rules described above. By contrast, the access area boundary was determined manually based on the project description and professional judgement of impact. While this method inherently includes subjective judgement, the high variability and nuance in the transportation context surrounding the proposed project makes this method more suitable for determining the residential areas apportioned that would benefit from its implementation than a purely GIS-based workflow. The following assumptions and rules of thumb were adopted in order to make the assessment of the access areas as uniform as possible:

1. The analysis assumes people are willing to “walk around the block” half the distance of their street in the opposite direction of school in order to utilize a safe path to school.
2. The analysis assumes that Google Earth street view imagery is up to date, as this was used to determine sidewalk connectivity and condition, which informed the access areas.
3. Places without sidewalks, particularly in small towns, are considered walkable if the street is narrow, residential, and designed for a low volume of traffic (i.e., lacks a centerline)
4. The access areas consider ADA accessibility and account for those in wheelchairs or other mobility devices.
5. The access areas may include residents who have to walk more than one mile to school, based on the available street network.
6. Even if some residents may have already had access to school, they might be included in the access area if the proposed project would significantly improve their access to school.

**Apportioning Census Population Data**

As described above, census population data was apportioned to both the school area and the access area based on how much a census block covered them. However, to account for varying population densities across census blocks, residential zones in the census blocks were identified.

The statewide zoning data provided by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development groups residential zones across all jurisdictions in the state into 13 categories of increasing density. Our team further consolidated these categories into just 4: Low Density, Medium-Low Density, Medium-High Density, and High Density. We then weighted these categories by their relative density compared to Low Density:
### Residential Zone Group | Population Density Factor
--- | ---
Low Density | 1
Medium-Low Density | 2
Medium-High Density | 5
High Density | 15

These factors serve to more accurately distribute the population data across the residential zones within the census block. In other words, if the census block contained only Low-Density residential zones, then the population of any given area within that census block is equal to the proportion of the census block that that area covers. By contrast, if a census block contains Low Density residential zones and High-Density zones, we attribute 15 times the population of the census block to the High-Density zones than the Low-Density zones. The density factors were determined using the typical number of dwellings per acre in in each zone.

The analysis uses these four zoning categories to identify the spatial distribution of the population of the census block and apportion it to the overlaying school area and access areas based on how much those areas cover the residential zones of the census block.

### General Assumptions

- This analysis assumes that the Oregon Statewide Zoning code reflects the actual residential densities of the current built environment.
- Areas that were zoned for housing that had no development on them according to the latest satellite imagery (and therefore significantly impacted the output) were removed from the analysis in order to improve the accuracy of the estimates. This was only utilized in a few low-population jurisdictions.
- For rural schools with no local residential zoning reported, the population of the appropriate block group is assumed to be evenly distributed across the school zone and the percentage of people served is equal to the percentage of the school zone covered by the new access area.
- This analysis assumes that families are evenly distributed between each of the four residential zone groups.
- The reported number of school-age students includes all students ages 5-17, not just elementary or middle school students. Thus, the number of students who actually attend the applicant school is likely much lower than the reported figure.